



Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report

Yancoal Mount Thorley Warkworth

October 2024

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	4
2.0	AIR QUALITY.....	4
2.1	Meteorological Monitoring.....	4
2.1.1	Rainfall.....	4
2.1.2	Wind Speed and Direction.....	4
2.2	Depositional Dust.....	6
2.3	Suspended Particulates.....	6
2.3.1	HVAS PM ₁₀ Results.....	6
2.3.2	TSP Results.....	7
2.3.3	Real Time PM ₁₀ Results.....	7
2.3.4	Real Time Alarms for Air Quality.....	7
3.0	WATER QUALITY.....	8
3.1	Surface Water.....	8
3.2	HRSTS Discharge.....	8
3.3	Groundwater Monitoring.....	8
4.0	BLAST MONITORING.....	9
4.1	Blast Monitoring Results.....	9
5.0	NOISE.....	12
5.1	Attended Noise Monitoring Results.....	12
5.1.1	WML Noise Assessment.....	12
5.1.2	MTO Noise Assessment.....	13
5.1.3	NPfl Low Frequency Assessment.....	14
5.2	Noise Management Measures.....	17
6.0	OPERATIONAL DOWNTIME.....	17
7.0	REHABILITATION.....	18
8.0	ENVIRONMENTAL INCIDENTS.....	18
	Appendix A: Meteorological Data.....	20

Figures

Figure 1: Rainfall Trend YTD	4
Figure 2: Charlton Ridge Wind Rose – October 2024	4
Figure 3: Air Quality Monitoring Locations	5
Figure 4: Depositional Dust – October 2024	6
Figure 5: Individual PM10 Results – October 2024	6
Figure 6: Annual Average PM10 – October 2024	7
Figure 7: Annual Average Total Suspended Particulates –October 2024	7
Figure 8: Real Time PM10 daily 24hr average (line graphs) and YTD annual average (column graphs) – October 2024	8
Figure 9: Abbey Green Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024	9
Figure 10: Bulga Village Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024	9
Figure 11: MTIE Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024	10
Figure 12: Wollemi Peak Road Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024	10
Figure 13: Wambo Road Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024	10
Figure 14: Warkworth Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024	10
Figure 15: MTW Blast Monitoring Location Plan	11
Figure 16: Noise Monitoring Location Plan	16
Figure 17: Operational Downtime by Equipment Type – October 2024	17
Figure 18: Rehabilitation YTD – October 2024	18

Tables

Table 1: Monthly Rainfall MTW	4
Table 2: Blasting Limits	9
Table 3: $L_{Aeq, 15 \text{ minute}}$ Warkworth Impact Assessment Criteria – October 2024	12
Table 4: $L_{A1, 1 \text{ minute}}$ Warkworth - Impact Assessment Criteria – October 2024	12
Table 5: $L_{Aeq, 15 \text{ minute}}$ Mount Thorley - Impact Assessment Criteria – October 2024	13
Table 6: $L_{A1, 1 \text{ Minute}}$ Mount Thorley - Impact Assessment Criteria –October 2024	13
Table 7: Warkworth Low Frequency Noise Assessment – October 2024	14
Table 8: Mount Thorley Operations Low Frequency Noise Assessment – October 2024	15
Table 9: Supplementary Attended Noise Monitoring Data – October 2024	17
Table 10: Complaints Summary YTD	19
Table 11: Meteorological Data – Charlton Ridge Meteorological Station – October 2024	21

Revision History

Version No.	Version Details	Date
1.0	Final	10/02/2025

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report has been compiled to provide a monthly summary of environmental monitoring results for Mount Thorley Warkworth (MTW). This report includes all monitoring data collected for the period 1 October to 31 October 2024.

2.0 AIR QUALITY

2.1 Meteorological Monitoring

Meteorological data is collected at MTW’s ‘Charlton Ridge’ meteorological station (refer to **Figure 3**).

2.1.1 Rainfall

Rainfall for the reporting period is summarised in **Table 1**. The year-to-date monthly rainfall totals, 2024 monthly rainfall totals and historical average monthly rainfall trend are shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 1: Monthly Rainfall MTW

2024	Monthly Rainfall (mm)	Cumulative Rainfall (mm)
October	38.8	529.0




Figure 1: Rainfall Trend YTD

Note: The historical average monthly rainfall is calculated from 2007 to 2023 monthly totals.

2.1.2 Wind Speed and Direction

Winds from the Northwest and South were dominant during the reporting period as shown in **Figure 2**.




Figure 2: Charlton Ridge Wind Rose – October 2024




Figure 3: Air Quality Monitoring Locations

2.2 Depositional Dust

To monitor air quality, MTW operates and maintains a network of seven depositional dust gauges, situated on private and mine owned land surrounding MTW.

During the reporting period, the Warkworth monitoring location recorded a monthly result above the long-term impact assessment criteria of 4.0 g/m² per month. There is no evidence to suggest that the result at Warkworth (8.2 g/m²) is contaminated, as such the result will be included in the annual average calculation.

Figure 4 displays insoluble solids results from depositional dust gauges during the reporting period compared against the year-to-date average and the annual impact assessment criteria.

An annual assessment of MTW’s compliance with the Long-Term Impact Assessment Criteria will be provided in the 2024 Annual Review Report.




Figure 4: Depositional Dust – October 2024

2.3 Suspended Particulates

Suspended particulates are measured by a network of High Volume Air Samplers (HVAS) measuring Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and Particulate Matter <10µm (PM₁₀). The location of these monitors can be found in **Figure 3**. Each HVAS was run for 24 hours on a six-day cycle in accordance with EPA requirements.

2.3.1 HVAS PM₁₀ Results

Figure 5 shows the individual PM₁₀ results at each monitoring station against the short-term impact assessment criteria of 50µg/m³.




Figure 5: Individual PM₁₀ Results – October 2024

Figure 6 shows the annual average PM₁₀ result against the long-term impact assessment criteria.

An assessment of MTW’s compliance with the Long-Term Impact Assessment Criteria will be provided in the 2024 Annual Review Report.




Figure 7: Annual Average PM₁₀ – October 2024

2.3.2 TSP Results

Figure 7 shows the annual average TSP results compared against the long-term impact assessment criteria of 90µg/m³.

An assessment of MTW’s compliance with the Long-Term Impact Assessment Criteria will be provided in the 2024 Annual Review Report.




Figure 8: Annual Average Total Suspended Particulates – October 2024

2.3.3 Real Time PM₁₀ Results

MTW maintains a network of real time PM₁₀ monitors. The real time air quality monitoring stations continuously log information and transmit data to a central database, generating internal alerts when particulate matter levels exceed internal trigger limits.

Results for real time dust sampling are shown in Figure 8, including the daily 24-hour average PM₁₀ result and the annual PM₁₀ average.

On 23 October 2024, the Warkworth TEOM (50.4 µg/m³) exceeded the short term (24hr) criteria. The measurement was assessed for MTW’s potential contribution based on meteorological conditions on the day resulting in a maximum estimated contribution of 10.7 µg/m³, less than a 23% contribution to the result. Accordingly, no further action is required (as per the approved Air Quality Monitoring Programme).

2.3.4 Real Time Alarms for Air Quality

During October, the real time monitoring system generated 116 automated air quality related alerts, including 10 alerts for adverse meteorological conditions and 106 alerts for elevated PM₁₀ levels.




Figure 9: Real Time PM₁₀ daily 24hr average (line graphs) and YTD annual average (column graphs) – October 2024

3.0 WATER QUALITY

MTW maintains a network of surface water and groundwater monitoring sites.

3.1 Surface Water

Monitoring is conducted at mine site dams and surrounding natural watercourses.

Surface water courses are sampled on a monthly or quarterly sampling regime. Water quality is evaluated through the parameters of pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). The Hunter River and the Wollombi Brook are sampled both upstream and downstream of mining operations, to record background water quality and to monitor the potential impact of mining on the river system. Other Hunter River tributaries are also monitored.

Results of monitoring are reported quarterly, next available in the December 2024 report.

3.2 HRSTS Discharge

MTW participates in the Hunter River Salinity Trading Scheme (HRSTS), allowing discharge from licensed discharge points located at Dam 1N and Dam 9S. Discharges can only take place subject to HRSTS regulations.

MTW did not undertake any HRSTS discharges in the reporting period.

3.3 Groundwater Monitoring

Groundwater monitoring is undertaken on a quarterly basis in accordance with the MTW Groundwater Monitoring Programme.

Groundwater results are reported quarterly, next available in the December 2024 report.

4.0 BLAST MONITORING

MTW have a network of six blast monitoring units. These are located at nearby privately owned residences and function as regulatory compliance monitors.

The location of these monitors can be found in **Figure 15**.

4.1 Blast Monitoring Results

During October 2024, 22 blasts were initiated at MTW. **Figure 9** to **Figure 14** show the blast monitoring results for the reporting period against the impact assessment criteria. The criteria are summarised in **Table 2**.

Table 2: Blasting Limits

Airblast Overpressure (dB(L))	Comments
115	5% of the total number of blasts in a 12 month period at WML or MTO
120	0%

Ground Vibration (mm/s)	Comments
5	5% of the total number of blasts in a 12 month period at WML or MTO
10	0%

During the reporting period one blast exceeded the 115dB(L) (permissible for 5% of blasts in 12 month period) threshold for airblast overpressure at the Warkworth monitoring location. No blast exceeded the 5 mm/s criteria for ground vibration.




Figure 10: Abbey Green Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024




Figure 11: Bulga Village Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024




Figure 12: MTIE Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024




Figure 14: Wambo Road Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024




Figure 13: Wollemi Peak Road Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024




Figure 15: Warkworth Blast Monitoring Results – October 2024




Figure 16: MTW Blast Monitoring Location Plan

5.0 NOISE

Routine attended noise monitoring is carried out in accordance with the MTW Noise Management Plan. A review against EIS predictions will be reported in the Annual Review. The purpose of the noise surveys is to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around the site and compare results with specified limits. Real time noise monitoring also occurs at five sites surrounding MTW. Noise monitoring locations are displayed in **Figure 16**.

5.1 Attended Noise Monitoring Results

Attended monitoring was conducted at receiver locations surrounding MTW on the night of 8 October 2024. All measurements complied with the relevant criteria. Results are detailed in **Table 3** to **Table 6**.

5.1.1 WML Noise Assessment

Compliance assessments undertaken against the WML noise criteria are presented in **Tables 3** and **4**.

Table 3: L_{Aeq}, 15 minute Warkworth Impact Assessment Criteria – October 2024

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s)	Stability Class	Criterion dB(A)	Criterion Applies? ¹	WML L _{Aeq} dB ^{2,3}	Exceedance ^{3,4}
Bulga RFS	8/10/2024 23:02	4.0	D	37	No	IA	NA
Bulga Village	8/10/2024 22:15	4.0	D	38	No	IA	NA
Gouldsville	8/10/2024 21:23	3.6	D	38	No	<30	NA
Inlet Road	8/10/2024 21:25	3.6	D	37	No	IA	NA
Inlet Road West	8/10/2024 21:00	3.6	D	35	No	IA	NA
Long Point	8/10/2024 21:00	3.6	D	35	No	IA	NA
South Bulga	8/10/2024 23:22	3.1	D	35	No	IA	NA
Wambo Road	8/10/2024 21:52	4.0	D	38	No	IA	NA

Notes:

1. Noise criteria apply during all meteorological conditions except the following: wind speeds greater than 3 m/s measured at 10 metres above ground level; stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2m/s at 10m above ground level; or stability category G temperature inversion conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

2. Site-only L_{Aeq},15minute attributed to WML, including modifying factors if applicable;

3. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of relevant criterion; and

4. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified in consent, therefore criterion was not applicable.

5. Follow up measurement within one week of measured exceedance.

Table 4: L_{A1}, 1 minute Warkworth - Impact Assessment Criteria – October 2024

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s)	Stability Class	Criterion dB(A)	Criterion Applies? ¹	WML L _{A1} , 1min dB ^{2,3}	Exceedance ^{3,4}
Bulga RFS	8/10/2024 23:02	4.0	D	47	No	IA	NA
Bulga Village	8/10/2024 22:15	4.0	D	48	No	IA	NA
Gouldsville	8/10/2024 21:23	3.6	D	48	No	40	NA
Inlet Road	8/10/2024 21:25	3.6	D	47	No	IA	NA
Inlet Road West	8/10/2024 21:00	3.6	D	45	No	IA	NA
Long Point	8/10/2024 21:00	3.6	D	45	No	IA	NA
South Bulga	8/10/2024 23:22	3.1	D	45	No	IA	NA
Wambo Road	8/10/2024 21:52	4.0	D	48	No	IA	NA

Notes:

1. Noise criteria apply during all meteorological conditions except the following: wind speeds greater than 3 m/s measured at 10 metres above ground level; stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2m/s at 10m above ground level; or stability category G temperature inversion conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

2. Site-only L_{A1},1minute attributed to WML;

3. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of relevant criterion; and

4. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified in consent, therefore criterion was not applicable.

5. Follow up measurement within one week of measured exceedance.

5.1.2 MTO Noise Assessment

Compliance assessments undertaken against the MTO noise criteria are presented in **Table 5** and **6**.

Table 5: L_{Aeq}, 15minute Mount Thorley - Impact Assessment Criteria – October 2024

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s)	Stability Class	Criterion dB	Criterion Applies? ¹	MTO L _{Aeq} dB ^{2,3}	Exceedance ^{3,4}
Bulga RFS	8/10/2024 23:02	4.0	D	37	No	IA	NA
Bulga Village	8/10/2024 22:15	4.0	D	38	No	IA	NA
Gouldsville	8/10/2024 21:23	3.6	D	35	No	IA	NA
Inlet Road	8/10/2024 21:25	3.6	D	37	No	IA	NA
Inlet Road West	8/10/2024 21:00	3.6	D	35	No	IA	NA
Long Point	8/10/2024 21:00	3.6	D	35	No	IA	NA
South Bulga	8/10/2024 23:22	3.1	D	36	No	IA	NA
Wambo Road	8/10/2024 21:52	4.0	D	38	No	27	NA

Notes:

1. Noise criteria apply during all meteorological conditions except the following: wind speeds greater than 3 m/s measured at 10 metres above ground level; stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2m/s at 10m above ground level; or stability category G temperature inversion conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

2. Site-only L_{Aeq},15minute attributed to MTO, including modifying factors if applicable;

3. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of relevant criterion; and

4. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified in consent, therefore criterion was not applicable.

5. Follow up measurement within one week of measured exceedance.

Table 6: L_{A1}, 1Minute Mount Thorley - Impact Assessment Criteria –October 2024

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s)	Stability Class	Criterion dB	Criterion Applies? ¹	MTO L _{A1} , 1min dB ^{2,3}	Exceedance ^{3,4}
Bulga RFS	8/10/2024 23:02	4.0	D	47	No	IA	NA
Bulga Village	8/10/2024 22:15	4.0	D	48	No	IA	NA
Gouldsville	8/10/2024 21:23	3.6	D	45	No	IA	NA
Inlet Road	8/10/2024 21:25	3.6	D	47	No	IA	NA
Inlet Road West	8/10/2024 21:00	3.6	D	45	No	IA	NA
Long Point	8/10/2024 21:00	3.6	D	45	No	IA	NA
South Bulga	8/10/2024 23:22	3.1	D	46	No	IA	NA
Wambo Road	8/10/2024 21:52	4.0	D	48	No	33	NA

Notes:

1. Noise criteria apply during all meteorological conditions except the following: wind speeds greater than 3 m/s measured at 10 metres above ground level; stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2m/s at 10m above ground level; or stability category G temperature inversion conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

2. Site-only L_{A1},1minute attributed to MTO;

3. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of relevant criterion; and

4. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified in consent, therefore criterion was not applicable.

5. Follow up measurement within one week of measured exceedance.

5.1.3 NPfl Low Frequency Assessment

In accordance with the requirements of the EPA’s Noise Policy for Industry (NPfl), the applicability of the low frequency modification factor corrections has been assessed. The WML assessment for low frequency noise is shown in **Table 7** and the MTO assessment for low frequency noise is shown in **Table 8**.

Table 7: Warkworth Low Frequency Noise Assessment – October 2024

Location	Date and Time	Measured WML LAeq dB	Criterion Applies?	Intermittency Modifying Factor?	Tonality Modifying Factor?	Frequency of Tonality ¹	Low-frequency Modifying Factor?	Maximum Exceedance of Reference Spectrum ^{1,2}	Penalty dB ²
Bulga RFS	8/10/2024 23:02	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Bulga Village	8/10/2024 22:15	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Gouldsville	8/10/2024 21:23	<30	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Inlet Road	8/10/2024 21:25	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Inlet Road West	8/10/2024 21:00	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Long Point	8/10/2024 21:00	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
South Bulga	8/10/2024 23:22	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Wambo Road	8/10/2024 21:52	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil

Notes:

1. NA denotes 'not applicable'; and
2. Bold results indicate that application of NPfl modifying factor/s is required.
3. Follow up measurement within one week of measured exceedance.

Table 8: Mount Thorley Operations Low Frequency Noise Assessment – October 2024

Location	Date and Time	Measured MTO LAeq dB	Criterion Applies?	Intermittency Modifying Factor?	Tonality Modifying Factor?	Frequency of Tonality ¹	Low-frequency Modifying Factor?	Maximum Exceedance of Reference Spectrum ^{1,2}	Penalty dB ²
Bulga RFS	8/10/2024 23:02	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Bulga Village	8/10/2024 22:15	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Gouldsville	8/10/2024 21:23	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Inlet Road	8/10/2024 21:25	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Inlet Road West	8/10/2024 21:00	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Long Point	8/10/2024 21:00	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
South Bulga	8/10/2024 23:22	IA	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil
Wambo Road	8/10/2024 21:52	27	No	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Nil

Notes:

1. NA denotes 'not applicable'; and
2. Bold results indicate that application of NPfI modifying factor/s is required.
3. Follow up measurement within one week of measured exceedance.




Figure 17: Noise Monitoring Location Plan

5.2 Noise Management Measures

A program of targeted supplementary attended noise monitoring is in place at MTW, supported by the real-time directional monitoring network and ensuring the highest level of noise management is maintained. The supplementary program is undertaken by MTW personnel and involves:

- Routine inspections from both inside and outside the mine boundary;
- Routine and as-required handheld noise assessments (undertaken in response to noise alarm and/or community complaint), comparing measured levels against consent noise limits; and
- Validation monitoring following operational modifications to assess the adequacy of the modifications.

Where a noise assessment identifies noise emissions which are exceeding the relevant noise limit(s) for any residence, modifications will be made to ensure that the noise event is resolved within 75 minutes of identification. The actions taken are commensurate with the nature and severity of the noise event, but can include:

- Changing the haul route to a less noise sensitive haul;
- Changing dump locations (in-pit or less exposed dump option);
- Reducing equipment numbers;
- Shut down of task; or
- Site shut down.

A summary of these assessments undertaken during October are provided in **Table 9**.


Table 9: Supplementary Attended Noise Monitoring Data – October 2024

No. of assessments	No. of assessments > trigger	No. of nights where assessments > trigger	% greater than trigger
637	7	4	1.1

6.0 OPERATIONAL DOWNTIME

During October, a total of 356.8 hours of equipment downtime was logged in response to environmental events such as dust, noise and adverse meteorological conditions. Operational downtime by equipment type is shown in **Figure 17**.

Figure 18: Operational Downtime by Equipment Type – October 2024



7.0 REHABILITATION

During October 2024, 17.9 Ha of land was released, 8.0 Ha was bulk shaped and 7.9 Ha was topsoiled.




Figure 19: Rehabilitation YTD – October 2024

8.0 ENVIRONMENTAL INCIDENTS

There were no environmental incidents recorded during the reporting period.

9.0 COMPLAINTS

18 complaints were received during the reporting period. Details of these complaints are shown in **Table 10**.

Table 10: Complaints Summary YTD

	Noise	Dust	Blast	Lighting	Other	Total
January	1	3	5	2	0	11
February	3	4	1	0	0	8
March	3	1	2	0	0	6
April	7	2	1	5	0	15
May	8	1	5	0	2	16
June	2	1	3	0	0	6
July	1	2	2	1	0	6
August	5	1	3	0	1	10
September	0	6	0	3	1	10
October	2	8	5	3	0	18
November						
December						
Total	32	29	27	14	4	106

Appendix A: Meteorological Data

Table 11: Meteorological Data – Charlton Ridge Meteorological Station – October 2024

Date	Air Temperature		Relative Humidity		Wind Direction	Wind Speed	Rainfall
	Maximum (°C)	Minimum (°C)	Maximum (%)	Minimum (%)	Average (°)	Average (m/sec)	total (mm)
1/10/2024	23	7	100	38	161	1.9	0.0
2/10/2024	20	12	100	56	159	2.7	0.0
3/10/2024	23	9	100	37	150	2.5	0.0
4/10/2024	26	6	100	33	218	2.3	0.0
5/10/2024	28	15	80	26	283	4.3	0.0
6/10/2024	29	12	76	22	284	3.7	0.0
7/10/2024	31	10	82	17	269	2.5	0.0
8/10/2024	20	11	91	41	183	2.8	1.0
9/10/2024	15	10	99	78	155	2.9	1.0
10/10/2024	25	10	98	37	170	1.8	0.0
11/10/2024	27	11	97	37	170	1.8	0.0
12/10/2024	18	11	94	59	167	4.4	0.0
13/10/2024	22	10	98	41	139	2.9	0.0
14/10/2024	19	9	100	68	247	2.2	13.2
15/10/2024	17	11	100	75	177	1.8	0.0
16/10/2024	17	10	100	65	178	1.5	2.0
17/10/2024	24	8	100	51	170	1.2	0.0
18/10/2024	25	14	100	66	195	1.5	14.0
19/10/2024	27	14	100	48	227	2.9	1.4
20/10/2024	24	13	100	50	155	1.9	0.2
21/10/2024	23	10	94	42	163	2.6	0.0
22/10/2024	26	10	97	32	160	1.2	0.0
23/10/2024	30	9	100	31	246	1.7	0.0
24/10/2024	28	12	100	33	169	1.9	6.0
25/10/2024	25	10	100	18	186	2.2	0.0
26/10/2024	22	9	86	31	148	2.0	0.0
27/10/2024	27	9	85	20	208	2.1	0.0
28/10/2024	31	9	93	16	208	2.3	0.0
29/10/2024	26	13	86	36	149	2.2	0.0
30/10/2024	31	11	98	25	206	2.0	0.0
31/10/2024	32	11	89	15	194	2.1	0.0